arXiv:1510.04814v2 [math.CO] 8 Nov 2015

On the decomposition of random hypergraphs

Xing Peng *

Abstract

For an r-uniform hypergraph H, let $f(H)$ be the minimum number of complete r-partite r-uniform subhypergraphs of H whose edge sets partition the edge set of H. For a graph G, $f(G)$ is the bipartition number of G which was introduced by Graham and Pollak in 1971. In 1988, Erdős conjectured that if $G \in G(n, 1/2)$, then with high probability $f(G) = n - \alpha(G)$, where $\alpha(G)$ is the independence number of G. This conjecture and related problems have received a lot of attention recently. In this paper, we study the value of $f(H)$ for a typical r-uniform hypergraph H. More precisely, we prove that if $(\log n)^{2.001}/n \le p \le 1/2$ and $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$, then with high probability $f(H) = (1 - \pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) + o(1))\binom{n}{r-1}$, where $\pi(K_r^{(r-1)})$ is the Turán density of $K_r^{(r-1)}$.

1 Introduction

For a graph G, the *bipartition number* $\tau(G)$ is the minimum number of complete bipartite subgraphs of G so that each edge of G belongs to exactly one of them. This parameter of a graph was introduced by Graham and Pollak [12] in 1971. The famous Graham–Pollak [12] Theorem asserts $\tau(K_n) = n - 1$. Since it's original proof using Sylvester's Law of Intertia, many other proofs have been discovered, see [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19].

Let $\alpha(G)$ be the independence number of G. It is easy to observe $\tau(G) \le |V(G)| - \alpha(G)$. Erdős (see [13]) conjectured that the equality holds for almost all graphs. Namely, if $G \in G(n, 1/2)$, then $\tau(G) = n - \alpha(G)$ with high probability. Alon [2] disproved this conjecture by showing $\tau(G) \le n - \alpha(G) - 1$ with high probability for most values of n. Alon's upper bound on the bipartition number of random graphs $G \in G(n, 1/2)$ was improved by Alon, Bohman, and Huang [3] recently. Chung and the author proved that if $G \in G(n,p)$, p is a constant, and $p \le 1/2$, then with high probability we have $\tau(G) \ge n - \delta(\log_{1/p} n)^{3+\epsilon}$ for any constants δ and ϵ. When p satisfies $\frac{2}{n} \le p \le c$ for some absolute (small) constant c, Alon [1] showed that if $G \in G(n,p)$, then $\tau(G) = n - \Theta\left(\frac{\log(np)}{p}\right)$ with high probability.

The hypergraph analogue of the bipartition number is well-defined. For $r \ge 3$ and an r-uniform hypergraph H, let $f(H)$ be the minimum number of complete r-partite r-uniform subhypergraphs of H whose edge sets partition the edge set of H. Aharoni and Linial (see [1]) first asked to determine the value of $f(K_n^{(r)})$ for $r \ge 3$, where $K_n^{(r)}$ is the complete r-uniform hypergraph with n vertices. The value of $f(K_n^{(r)})$ is related to a perfect hashing problem from computer science. Alon [1] proved $f(K_n^{(3)}) = n - 2$ and $c_1(r)n^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \le f(K_n^{(r)}) \le c_2(r)n^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$ for $r \ge 4$. For improvements and variations, readers are referred to [7], [8], [9], and [10]. In this paper, we examine the value of $f(H)$ for the random hypergraph $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$. To state our main theorem, we need few more definitions.

For an r-uniform hypergraph H, the *Turán number* $\mathrm{ex}(n, H)$ is the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex r-uniform hypergraph which does not contain H as a subhypergraph.

*Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, P. R. China, (x2peng@tju.edu.cn).

We define the *Turán density* of H as

$$\pi(H) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{ex}(n,H)}{\binom{n}{r}}.$$

For each $r \geq 3$, we use $K_r^{(r-1)}$ to denote the compete $(r-1)$-uniform hypergraph with r vertices.

By extending techniques from [2] and [6], we are able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *For $r \geq 3$, if $(\log n)^{2.001}/n \leq p \leq 1/2$ and $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$, then with high probability we have*

$$f(H) = (1 - \pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) + o(1))\binom{n}{r-1}.$$

From this theorem, we can see the typical value of $f(H)$ has the order of magnitude n^{r-1} while $f(K_n^{(r)})$ has the order of magnitude $n^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$. We note $\pi(K_3^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2}$ while the value of $\pi(K_r^{(r-1)})$ is not known for $r \geq 4$. We remark here that our techniques also work for $p \leq 1 - c$ for any small positive constant c. However, we restrict out attention to the case where $p \leq 1/2$ in this paper.

We will use the following notation throughout this paper. For each $r \geq 3$, we will use $[n]$ to denote the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\binom{[n]}{r}$ to denote the collection of all r-subsets of $[n]$. If $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ are pairwise disjoint subsets of $[n]$, then we use $\prod_{i=1}^r A_i$ to denote those r-subsets F of $[n]$ such that $|F \cap A_i| = 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. We may also write $A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_r$ for $\prod_{i=1}^r A_i$ on some occasions. The complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph whose vertex parts are $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ is the r-uniform hypergraph with the edge set $\prod_{i=1}^r A_i$.

Let H be an r-uniform hypergraph with vertex set $[n]$ and edge set E. For pairwise disjoint subsets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r \subset [n]$, we say $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ form a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph if $\prod_{i=1}^r A_i \subseteq E(H)$.

For an r-uniform hypergraph H, suppose $E(H) = \sqcup_{i=1}^q \prod_{j=1}^r A_j^i$ is a partition of the edge set of H. For each $1 \leq i \leq q$, the i-th complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph H_i has vertex parts $A_1^i, \ldots, A_r^i$. We always assume $|A_1^i| \leq \cdots \leq |A_r^i|$. We say H_i is a trivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph if $|A_1^i| = \cdots = |A_{r-1}^i| = 1$. Otherwise, we say H_i is a nontrivial one. The *prefix* P_i of H_i is the set $\{A_1^i, \ldots, A_{r-1}^i\}$ and the prefix set $\mathcal{P}$ of the partition is $\{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$.

We will use $H^{(r)}(n,p)$ to denote the random r-uniform hypergraph in which each r-set $F \in \binom{[n]}{r}$ is selected as an edge with probability p independently. We say an event $\mathcal{X}_n$ occurs with high probability if the probability that $\mathcal{X}_n$ holds goes to one as n approaches infinity. All logarithms are in base 2, unless otherwise specified.

The outline of the proof is the following. We will prove $f(H) \leq (1-\pi(K_r^{r-1})-\epsilon)\binom{n}{r-1}$ with small probability for any positive constant ϵ. To do so, for a given prefix set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$ with $q \leq (1 - \pi(K_r^{r-1}) - \epsilon)\binom{n}{r-1}$, let $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{P_i \in \mathcal{P} : |P_j^i| = 1 \text{ for each } 1 \leq j \leq r-1\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_1$. We will show that there are at least $c(\epsilon)n^r$ edges of $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ which must be covered by some nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with the prefix from $\mathcal{P}_2$. Theorem 4 will tell us this probability is sufficiently small. We will prove an upper bound for the number of possible choices for $\mathcal{P}$ and apply the union bound to complete the proof.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will prove several necessary lemmas. In Section 3, we will present the proof of an auxiliary theorem which is the key ingredient in the proof of the main result. Theorem 1 will be proved in Section 4. Few concluding remarks will be mentioned in Section 5.

2 Lemmas

In this section, we will collect some necessary lemmas which are needed to prove the main theorem. We will use the following versions of Chernoff's inequality and Azuma's inequality.

Theorem 2 *[5] Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be independent random variables with*

$$\Pr(X_i = 1) = p_i, \qquad \Pr(X_i = 0) = 1 - p_i.$$

We consider the sum $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ with expectation $\mathrm{E}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\textit{(Lower tail)} && \Pr(X \le \mathrm{E}(X) - \lambda) \;\le\; e^{-\lambda^2/2\mathrm{E}(X)}, \\ &\textit{(Upper tail)} && \Pr(X \ge \mathrm{E}(X) + \lambda) \;\le\; e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2(\mathrm{E}(X)+\lambda/3)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 *[4] Let X be a random variable determined by m trials $T_1, \ldots, T_m$, such that for each i, and any two possible sequences of outcomes $t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_i$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_i'$:*

$$|\mathrm{E}(X|T_1 = t_1, \ldots, T_i = t_i) - \mathrm{E}(X|T_1 = t_1, \ldots, T_{i-1} = t_{i-1}, T_i = t_i')| \le c_i$$

then

$$\Pr(|X - \mathrm{E}(X)| \ge \lambda) \le 2\exp\left(-\lambda^2/2\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i^2\right).$$

Recall that if $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ form a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph, then we assume $|A_1| \le |A_2| \le \cdots \le |A_r|$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *For $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ with $p \le 1/2$, with high probability the vertex parts $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_r$ of each complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs in H satisfy $\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} |A_i| < (r+1)\log n$.*

Proof: We need only to prove the lemma for $p = 1/2$. For a collection of pairwise disjoint sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r \subset [n]$, we assume $|A_i| = k_i$ for each $1 \le i \le r$ and $k_1 \le k_2 \le \cdots \le k_r$. Fix a selection of $A_1, \ldots, A_r$, the probability that they form a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph in $H^{(r)}(n, 1/2)$ is $2^{-\prod_{i=1}^{r} k_i}$. For fixed $k_1, \ldots, k_r$, there are at most $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \binom{n}{k_i}$ choices for $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ such that $|A_i| = k_i$ for each $1 \le i \le r$. Therefore, for fixed $k_1, \ldots, k_r$ satisfying $\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i \ge (r+1)\log n$ and $k_1 \le \cdots \le k_r$, the probability that there are pairwise disjoint sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ such that $|A_i| = k_i$ and they form a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \binom{n}{k_i} 2^{-\prod_{i=1}^{r} k_i} &< 2^{(\sum_{i=1}^{r} k_i)\log n - \prod_{i=1}^{r} k_i} \\ &= 2^{k_r\left((\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i/k_r + 1)\log n - \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i\right)} \\ &\le 2^{k_r(r\log n - \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i)} \\ &< 2^{-k_r \log n} \end{aligned}$$

Put $s = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i$. We next estimate how many choices of $k_1, \ldots, k_r$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i = s$ and $k_1 \le \cdots \le k_r$. Let $t = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i$. If $s \ge \log n$, then $t \le s + r < 2s$ and $k_r \ge k_{r-1} \ge s^{1/(r-1)}$. Thus the number of choices for $k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}$ satisfying $\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i = s$ and $k_1 \le \cdots \le k_{r-1}$ is less than the number of positive solutions to the equation $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i = t$, which is less than $\binom{2s}{r-2}$ as $t \le 2s$. We have at most n choices for k_r regardless the choices of $k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}$. Therefore,

the probability that there are $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ which satisfy $s = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} |A_i| \geq (r+1)\log n$ and form a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph in $H^{(r)}(n, 1/2)$ is at most

$$\sum_{s=(r+1)\log n}^{n} n\binom{2s}{r-2}2^{-k_r \log n} \leq \sum_{s=(r+1)\log n}^{n} n\binom{2s}{r-2}2^{-s^{1/(r-1)}\log n} = o(1)$$

Then the lemma follows from Markov's inequality. □

For an r-uniform hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ and a prefix $P = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{r-1}\}$, we define

$$V(H, P) = \{v : v \in V(H) \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^{r-1} A_i) \text{ and } F \in E(H) \text{ for each } F \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_{r-1} \times \{v\}\}.$$

Figure 1 is an illustrative example for $v \in V(H, P)$. It follows that $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ form



Figure 1: An example with $r = 3$, $P = \{A_1, A_2\}$, and $v \in V(H, P)$.

a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph if A_r is contained in $V(H, P)$, namely, $A_r \subseteq V(H, P)$. We say an edge $F \in E(H)$ is covered by a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with the prefix P if $F \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_{r-1} \times V(H, P)$.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$ be a prefix set, where $P_i = \{A_1^i, \ldots, A_{r-1}^i\}$. We define $g(H, \mathcal{P})$ as the set of edges of H which are contained by exactly one complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs whose prefix is from $\mathcal{P}$. It is easy to see

$$g(H, \mathcal{P}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} g(H, P_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} |V(H, P_i)| \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_i^j|.$$

We have the following lemma on $g(H, \mathcal{P})$ for $H \in H^{(r)}(n, p)$.

Lemma 2 *Assume $p \leq 1/2$ and $H \in H^{(r)}(n, p)$. Let $c(n)$ be a fixed function. Given a prefix set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$, where $P_i = \{A_1^i, A_2^i, \ldots, A_{r-1}^i\}$ and $c(n) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| < (r+1)\log n$ for each $1 \leq i \leq q$, then we have*

$$\Pr\left(g(H, \mathcal{P}) \geq qc(n)p^{c(n)}n + 2n^{r-0.3}\right) \leq 2\exp(-n^{r-0.8}).$$

Proof: We shall use Theorem 3 to prove this lemma. Let $m = \binom{n}{r}$ and we list all r-sets of $[n]$ as $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m$. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, we consider $T_i \in \{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}\}$, here $T_i = \mathrm{H}$ means F_i is an edge and $T_i = \mathrm{T}$ means F_i is a nonedge. To simplify the notation, we use X to denote the random variable $g(H, \mathcal{P})$. We observe that X is determined by $T_1, \ldots, T_m$. Fix the outcome t_j of T_j for each $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, we wish to show an upper bound for

$$|\mathrm{E}(X|T_1 = t_1, \ldots, T_{i-1} = t_{i-1}, T_i = \mathrm{H}) - \mathrm{E}(X|T_1 = t_1, \ldots, T_{i-1} = t_{i-1}, T_i = \mathrm{T})| \quad (1)$$

If $T_i = \mathrm{H}$, then we can assume F_i is contained by some hypergraph whose prefix is P_i for some $1 \leq i \leq q$. Otherwise the change of the outcome of T_i will not effect the value of X. Suppose $F_i = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$, where $A_j^i \cap F_i = \{v_j\}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ and $v_r \notin \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} A_j^i$.

We next examine other edges which get covered because we change F_i as an edge. These edges are from the family $A_1^i \times \cdots A_{r-1}^i \times \{v_r\}$. Therefore $\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i|$ is an upper bound for (1). Recalling the assumption $\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| < (r+1)\log n$, then (1) can be bounded from above by $(r+1)\log n$.

We note $\mathrm{E}(g(H, P_i)) \le c(n)p^{c(n)}n$ as we assume $\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| \ge c(n)$. We get

$$\mathrm{E}(X) \le \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathrm{E}(H, P_i) \le c(n)qp^{c(n)}n.$$

Applying Theorem 3 with $\lambda = 2n^{r-0.3}$ and $c_i = (r+1)\log n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\left(X \ge c(n)qp^{c(n)}n + 2n^{r-0.3}\right) &\le \Pr\left(X \ge \mathrm{E}(X) + 2n^{r-0.3}\right) \\ &\le 2\exp\left(-4n^{2r-0.6}/(2m(r+1)\log n)\right) \\ &\le 2\exp(-n^{r-0.8})\end{aligned}$$

here we used the fact $m < n^r$. □

We need a theorem which provides a lower bound for the number of uncovered edges. Let $k(n)$ and $l(n)$ be given functions. Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{r}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be the power set of $\binom{[n]}{r} \setminus \mathcal{F}$. Consider a function $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{Q}$ such that for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and each $R \in \mathcal{C}(F)$, we have $|R \cap F| = r - 1$. Let $h(H, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ be the number of $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that F is an edge in $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ and R is not an edge in $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ for all $R \in \mathcal{C}(F)$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3 *Suppose $p \le 1/2$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{r}$. Assume $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$, $|\mathcal{C}(F)| \le k(n)$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and for each $R \in \cup_{F\in\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{C}(F)$, the number of $F \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfying $R \in \mathcal{C}(F)$ is at most $l(n)$, here $l(n)$ and $k(n)$ are some given functions. Then we have*

$$\Pr\left(h(H, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}) \le |\mathcal{F}|p(1-p)^{k(n)} - 2n^{r-0.01}\right) \le 2\exp(-n^{r-0.02}/l(n)^2).$$

Proof: To simplify notation, we use X to denote the random variable $h(H, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ again. We list all r-sets from $\mathcal{F} \cup_{F\in\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{C}(F)$ as $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m$, here $m \le \binom{n}{r}$. For each F_i, we consider $T_i \in \{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{T}\}$, here $T_i = \mathrm{T}$ means F_i is an edge and $T_i = \mathrm{T}$ means F_i is not an edge. Given the outcome t_j of T_j for each $1 \le j \le i-1$ we wish to establish an upper bound for

$$\left|\mathrm{E}(X|T_1 = t_1, \ldots, T_{i-1} = t_{i-1}, T_i = \mathrm{H}) - \mathrm{E}(X|T_1 = t_1, \ldots, T_{i-1} = t_{i-1}, T_i = \mathrm{T})\right|. \qquad (2)$$

If $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$, then changing the outcome of T_i can only effect (2) by one. If $F_i \in \cup_{F\in\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{C}(F)$, then changing the outcome of T_i can effect (2) by at most $l(n)$ since $F_i \in \mathcal{C}(F)$ for at most $l(n)$ r-set F. Therefore, the expression (2) can be bounded from above by $l(n)$. Applying Theorem 3 with $\lambda = 2n^{r-0.01}$ and $c_i = l(n)$, we get

$$\Pr\left(|X - \mathrm{E}(X)| \ge 2n^{r-0.01}\right) \le 2\exp\left(-4n^{2r-0.02}/2\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i^2\right) \le 2\exp(-n^{r-0.02}/l(n)^2),$$

here we used $m \le \binom{n}{r}$. We note $\mathrm{E}(X) = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}} p(1-p)^{|\mathcal{C}(F)|} \ge |\mathcal{F}|p(1-p)^{k(n)}$ as $|\mathcal{C}(F)| \le k(n)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\left(h(H, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}) \le |\mathcal{F}|p(1-p)^{k(n)} - 2n^{r-0.01}\right) &\le \Pr\left(|X - \mathrm{E}(X)| \ge 2n^{r-0.01}\right) \\ &\le 2\exp(-n^{r-0.02}/l(n)^2)\end{aligned}$$

We proved the lemma. □

When $p \le 1/\log\log\log\log n$, we adapt the approach in [2]. The following two lemmas are the hypergraph version of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 in [2]. Before we state them, we need one additional definition.

For positive integers $m \ge \log n$ and $r \ge 3$, let $\mathcal{T}_m$ be the set of tuples $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)$ satisfying the following properties.

1: a_i is a positive integer for each $1 \le i \le r$;

2: $1 \le a_1 \le a_2 \le \cdots \le a_r$;

3: $a_1 \cdots a_r = m$;

4: $a_{r-1} \ge 2$.

Lemma 4 *For any constant c, if p satisfies $(\log n)^{2.001}/n \le p \le 1/\log\log\log n$, then the following holds for n large enough. For every integer m satisfying*

$$\frac{pcn}{16} \le m \le \frac{pcn}{4},$$

we have

$$\sum_{(a_1,\ldots,a_r)\in\mathcal{T}_m} \binom{n}{a_1}\binom{n-a_1}{a_2}\cdots\binom{n-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i}{a_r} p^m \le 2^{-0.3\log(1/p)m}.$$

Recall that a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph whose vertex parts $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ satisfying $|A_1| \le |A_2| \le \cdots \le |A_r|$ is nontrivial if $\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} |A_i| \ge 2$.

Lemma 5 *For any constant c, if p satisfies $(\log n)^{2.001}/n \le p \le 1/\log\log\log n$, then the probability that $H \in H^r(n,p)$ contains a set of at most $2n^{r-1}$ nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs which cover at least $pcn^r/4$ edges is at most $2^{-0.05pc\log(1/p)n^r}$.*

As proofs of the two lemmas above go the same lines as those for proving Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 in [2], they are omitted here.

3 An auxiliary theorem

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{r}$ with $|\mathcal{F}| \ge cn^r$ for some positive constant c. Suppose the probability p satisfies $1/\log\log\log\log n \le p \le 1/2$. We shall prove that if $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$, then with small probability that there are few nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs such that each edge $F \in E(H) \cap \mathcal{F}$ is in exactly one of them.

Theorem 4 *Assume $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{r}$ with $|\mathcal{F}| \ge cn^r$ for some positive constant c. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_t\}$ be a given prefix set, where $t = |\mathcal{P}| \le n^{r-1}$ and $P_i = \{A_1^i, \ldots, A_{r-1}^i\}$ satisfying $2 \le \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| < (r+1)\log n$ for each $1 \le i \le t$. If $1/\log\log\log\log n \le p \le 1/2$ and $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$, then with probability at most $3\exp(-n^{r-0.92})$ there are t nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs such that its prefix set is $\mathcal{P}$ and each edge $F \in E(H) \cap \mathcal{F}$ is in exactly one of these hypergraphs.*

Suppose $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ and

$$E(H) \cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{t} \prod_{j=1}^{r} A_j^i,$$

where '$\sqcup$' denotes the disjoint union. For each $1 \le i \le t$, we assume $A_1^i, A_2^i, \ldots, A_r^i$ form a nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph. We fix a constant $K = \frac{4}{c}$ and a function $q(n) = \log\log\log\log n$. For each $0 \le i \le q(n)-1$, we define $f_i = K^i 2^{q(n)}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \left\{ P_i \in \mathcal{P} : f_i \le \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| < f_{i+1} \right\}.$$

Lemma 6 *There is some $0 \le i \le q(n)-1$ such that $|\mathcal{P}_i| \le \frac{t}{q(n)}$.*

Proof: Suppose the lemma is not true. As $\mathcal{P}_i$'s are pairwise disjoint, then we have

$$|\mathcal{P}| \ge \sum_{i=0}^{q(n)-1} |\mathcal{P}_i| > t,$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption on the size of $\mathcal{P}$. □

Let $0 \le i_0 \le q(n)-1$ be the smallest integer satisfying the statement of Lemma 6. We consider

$$\mathcal{P}' = \left\{ P_i \in \mathcal{P} : \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| < f_{i_0+1} \right\}.$$

For an r-set $F = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r\} \in \mathcal{F}$ and each $v_j \in F$, we define

$$N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(v_j) = \left\{ P_i \in \mathcal{P}' : v_j \notin \cup_{s=1}^{r-1} A_s^i, \text{ and } |F \cap A_s^i| = 1 \text{ for each } 1 \le s \le r-1 \right\}.$$

Figure 2 is an example for $P \in N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(v_j)$.

Roughly speaking, each $P_i \in N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(v_j)$ could be the prefix of a possible nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph which contains F.



Figure 2: An example with $r = 3$, $F = \{a, b, c\}$, and $P = \{A_1, A_2\} \in N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(c)$.

We note that $N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(v_j)$ and $N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(v_k)$ are disjoint if $j \ne k$. Let $N_{\mathcal{P}'}(F) = \cup_{j=1}^{r} N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(v_j)$ and $d_{\mathcal{P}'}(F) = |N_{\mathcal{P}'}(F)| = \sum_{j=1}^{r} |N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(v_j)|$.

Lemma 7 *Assume $|\mathcal{P}' \setminus \mathcal{P}_{i_0}| = xn^{r-1}$ with $x \ge 0.01c$. Let $\mathcal{F}' = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : d_{\mathcal{P}'}(F) \le \frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}\}$. We have*

$$|\mathcal{F}'| \ge \frac{cn^r}{3}.$$

Proof: We observe that each $P_i = \{A_1^i, \ldots, A_{r-1}^i\} \in \mathcal{P}'$ contributes one to $d_{\mathcal{P}'}(F)$ for at most $n \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i|$ r-sets $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{P}_i$ and Lemma 6. For n large

enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}} d_{\mathcal{P}'}(F) &\le \sum_{P_i\in\mathcal{P}'} n\prod_{j=1}^{r-1}|A_j^i| \\ &= \sum_{P_i\in\mathcal{P}'\setminus\mathcal{P}_{i_0}} n\prod_{j=1}^{r-1}|A_j^i| + \sum_{P_i\in\mathcal{P}_{i_0}} n\prod_{j=1}^{r-1}|A_j^i| \\ &\le nf_{i_0}|\mathcal{P}'\setminus\mathcal{P}_{i_0}| + nf_{i_0+1}|\mathcal{P}_{i_0}| \\ &\le xf_{i_0}n^r + \frac{tnf_{i_0+1}}{q(n)} \\ &\le 2xf_{i_0}n^r\end{aligned}$$

we applied facts $t \le n^{r-1}$ and $x \ge 0.01c$ as well as the definition of i_0. We get the following inequality

$$\frac{3x}{c}f_{i_0}|\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{F}'| \le \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{F}'} d_{\mathcal{P}'}(F) \le \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}} d_{\mathcal{P}'}(F) \le 2xf_{i_0}n^r.$$

Clearly, the inequality above implies $|\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{F}'| \le \frac{2cn^r}{3}$. Equivalently, $|\mathcal{F}'| \ge \frac{cn^r}{3}$. □

Before proving a lower bound on the number of uncovered edges, we need one more lemma.

Lemma 8 *Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be the subfamily of $\mathcal{F}$ given by Lemma 7. There is a subset $\mathcal{W}\subseteq\mathcal{F}'$ and a collection of r-sets $\mathcal{C}(F)\subset\binom{[n]}{r}\setminus\mathcal{W}$ associated with each $F\in\mathcal{W}$ which satisfy following*
1: $|\mathcal{W}| \ge \frac{c^2n^r}{10xf_{i_0}}$.
2: $|\mathcal{C}(F)| \le \frac{3}{c}xf_{i_0}$ for each $F\in\mathcal{W}$.
3: For each $F=\{v_1,\ldots,v_r\}\in\mathcal{W}$ and each $1\le i\le r$, if $P=\{A_1,\ldots,A_{r-1}\}\in\mathcal{P}'$ and $P\in N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(v_i)$, then there is $w\in A_{r-1}\setminus F$ such that $(F\setminus v_i)\cup w\in\mathcal{C}(F)$.

Proof: To define $\mathcal{W}$, we first give a linear ordering of r-sets in $\mathcal{F}'$ and consider the following algorithm. We will define sets $\mathcal{F}_i$ recursively and build the set $\mathcal{W}$ step by step. Initially, let $\mathcal{F}_0=\mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{W}=\emptyset$.

For each $i\ge 1$, if $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\ne\emptyset$, then let $F_i=\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_r\}$ be the first r-set in $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. For each $1\le j\le r$ and each $P=\{A_1,\ldots,A_{r-1}\}\in N_{\mathcal{P}',F_i}(v_j)$, we note $|F\cap A_s|=1$ for each $1\le s\le r-1$ and $v_j\notin\cup_{s=1}^{r-1}A_s$ by the definition of $P\in N_{\mathcal{P}',F_i}(v_j)$. Suppose $F_i\cap A_{r-1}=u$. We notice $|A_{r-1}|\ge 2$ as P is the prefix of a nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph. If $(F_i\setminus u)\cup v\notin\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\cup\mathcal{W}$ for some $v\in A_{r-1}$, then for each $w\in A_{r-1}\setminus v$, we move $(F_i\setminus u)\cup w$ from $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ to $\mathcal{W}$ provided $(F_i\setminus u)\cup w\in\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. Otherwise, $(F_i\setminus u)\cup v\in\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\cup\mathcal{W}$ for each $v\in A_{r-1}$. We claim actually $(F_i\setminus u)\cup v\in\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ for each $v\in A_{r-1}$. We proceed with the algorithm by assuming this claim. We choose an arbitrary $w\in A_{r-1}\setminus u$ and delete $(F_i\setminus u)\cup w$ from $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. Moreover, for each $v\in A_{r-1}\setminus w$, we move $(F_i\setminus u)\cup v$ from $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ to $\mathcal{W}$. We define $\mathcal{F}_i$ as the resulted subset of $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ for each case.

Now we prove the claim. Suppose there is some $z\in A_{r-1}\setminus u$ such that $(F_i\setminus u)\cup z\in W$. We pick such a vertex z so that the r-set $F'=(F_i\setminus u)\cup z$ is the smallest one in W under the linear ordering. Suppose F' was added to W at step j with $j<i$. We examine the moment that F' was moved to $\mathcal{W}$. If there is some $s\in A_{r-1}\setminus z$ such that $(F'\setminus z)\cup s\notin\mathcal{F}_{j-1}\cup\mathcal{W}$, then $s\ne u$. Otherwise, $F_i\notin\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. We notice $(\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\cup\mathcal{W})\subseteq(\mathcal{F}_{j-1}\cup\mathcal{W})$ as $j<i$. Therefore, $(F_i\setminus u)\cup s\notin\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\cup\mathcal{W}$ and we are in the first case, which is a contradiction. We obtain F' must satisfy the statement of the claim (because F' is the first one of the form $(F_i\setminus u)\cup z$). Thus F_i was moved to $\mathcal{W}$ when we were moving F' to $\mathcal{W}$, which leads a contradiction. Figure 3 is an illustrative example.

If $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} = \emptyset$, then we halt the process and output $\mathcal{W}$.

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{F}'$, i.e., $d_{\mathcal{P}'}(F) \leq \frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}'$. We get that each $F \in \mathcal{F}'$ can make at most $\frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}$ other r-sets in $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ deleted from $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ if F is added to $\mathcal{W}$ at time i. Recall $|\mathcal{F}'| \geq \frac{cn^r}{3}$. Thus,

$$|\mathcal{W}| \geq \frac{|\mathcal{F}'|}{\frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0} + 1} \geq \frac{c^2 n^r}{10 x f_{i_0}}.$$

For each $F \in \mathcal{W}$, we next associate with F a set of r-sets $\mathcal{C}(F) \subset \binom{[n]}{r} \setminus \mathcal{W}$. Assume $F = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq r$ and each $\{A_1, \ldots, A_{r-1}\} \in N_{\mathcal{P}',F}(v_i)$, as the construction of $\mathcal{W}$, there is some $v \in A_{r-1} \setminus F$ such that $(F \setminus v_i) \cup v \notin \mathcal{W}$. The desired vertex v exists by considering when F is moved to $\mathcal{W}$. If $(F \setminus v_i) \cup v$ is not an edge, then it excludes the possibility that F get covered by the complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with the prefix $\{A_1, \ldots, A_{r-1}\}$. We put the r-set $(F \setminus v_i) \cup v$ in $\mathcal{C}(F)$. For an example, see Figure 3. We will call each $R \in \mathcal{C}(F)$ a *certificate* for F. We note that if $R \in \mathcal{C}(F)$, then $|F \cap R| = r - 1$ and the symmetric difference $F \triangle R$ is in A_{r-1}. We have $|\mathcal{C}(F)| \leq \frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}$ as the assumption



Figure 3: An example with $r = 3$, $F = \{u, v, w\}$, $P = \{A_1, A_2\} \in N_{\mathcal{P}'}(F)$, and $\{u, w, z\} \in \mathcal{C}(F)$.

for $|N_{\mathcal{P}'}(F)|$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}'$. □

The next lemma will tell us that with high probability the number of r-sets $F \in \mathcal{W}$ such that F is an edge in $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ and F is not contained in any nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with the prefix from $\mathcal{P}'$ is large.

Lemma 9 *Assume $1/\log\log\log\log n \leq p \leq 1/2$, $|\mathcal{P}' \setminus \mathcal{P}_{i_0}| = x n^{r-1}$ with $x \geq 0.01c$, $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$, and Lemma 1 holds. With probability at most $2\exp(-n^{r-0.92})$, the number of edges in $E(H) \cap \mathcal{F}$ which is not contained in any complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with the prefix from $\mathcal{P}'$ is less than*

$$\frac{c^2 n^r p (1-p)^{\frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}}}{12 x f_{i_0}}.$$

Proof: We will work on the collection of r-sets $\mathcal{W}$ given by Lemma 8. Let Y be the number of r-sets from $\mathcal{W}$ which is an edge in $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ and is not contained by any complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with the prefix from $\mathcal{P}'$. For each $F \in \mathcal{W}$ and $R \in \mathcal{C}(F)$, we recall that R is a certificate for F. We remark that an r-set R could be a certificate for more than one r-set $F \in \mathcal{W}$.

Let $\mathcal{C} = \cup_{F \in \mathcal{W}} \mathcal{C}(F)$. For an r-set $R \in \mathcal{C}$, if $R \in \mathcal{C}(F)$ for more than $n^{0.45}$ sets $F \in \mathcal{W}$, then we call R a *bad certificate*. Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ be the collection of bad certificates. For each $F \in \mathcal{W}$, we set $\mathcal{C}'(F) = \mathcal{C}(F) \setminus \mathcal{C}_1$. We fix the selection of $\mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{C}'(F)$ for each $F \in \mathcal{W}$, and the collection of bad certificates $\mathcal{C}_1$. We sample all possible edges and let X_F be the indicator random variable such that F is an edge in $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ and R is not a edge

in $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ for each $R \in \mathcal{C}'(F)$. We observe that if $X_F = 1$, then F is not covered by any nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs with the prefix from $\mathcal{P}'$ and containing no bad certificate. To see this, suppose F is covered by some H with vertex parts $A_1, \ldots, A_{r-1}, A_r$ and H dose not contain any bad certificate. Since the definition of $\mathcal{C}'(F)$, there is some $F' \in \mathcal{C}'(F) \cap \prod_{i=1}^{r} A_i$. As the definition of $X_F = 1$, we get F' is not an edge. Thus, $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ do not form a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph, which is a contradiction.

We define $X = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{W}} X_F$. Applying Lemma 3 with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{W}$, $k(n) = \frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}$, and $l(n) = n^{0.45}$, we obtain with probability at least $1 - 2\exp(-n^{r-0.92})$, we have

$$X \geq \frac{c^2 n^r p (1-p)^{\frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}}}{11 x f_{i_0}}.$$

We note $n^{r-0.01}$ is a lower term as the definition of f_{i_0} and the assumption for p. We use $\mathcal{F}''$ to denote those r-sets $F \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $X_F = 1$. The argument above gives that with probability at least $1 - 2\exp(-n^{r-0.92})$, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}''| \geq \frac{c^2 n^r p (1-p)^{\frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}}}{11 x f_{i_0}}.$$

Let us condition on this.

We note that an edge in $\mathcal{F}''$ could be covered by some complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph which contains a bad certificate. We next prove an upper bound on the number of such edges. This upper bound works for all samplings of edges.

Let $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ be the vertex parts of such a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph H. Suppose $\{A_1, \ldots, A_{r-1}\} \in \mathcal{P}'$. We define

$$A'_r = \{v_r \in A_r : \text{ there are } v_1 \in A_1, \ldots, v_{r-1} \in A_{r-1} \text{ such that } \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\} \in \mathcal{F}''\}.$$

The number of edges from $\mathcal{F}''$ covered by H is at most $|A'_i| \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} |A_i|$. We next relate the number of bad certificates contained in H to the size of A'_r.

For each $w \in A'_r$, by the definition of A'_r, there is some $F = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, w\} \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_{r-1} \times \{w\}$ such that $F \in \mathcal{F}''$. We observe $\{A_1, \ldots, A_{r-1}\} \in N_{\mathcal{P}'}(F)$. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{r-2}, z, w\}$ be the certificate of F associated with $\{A_1, \ldots, A_{r-1}\}$, where $z \in A_{r-1}$. We notice $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{r-2}, z, w\}$ must be a bad certificate. Otherwise, as $F \in \mathcal{F}''$, we get $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{r-2}, z, w\}$ is a nonedge. Then $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ do not form a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph which is a contradiction. Therefore, each $w \in A'_r$ gives at least one bad certificate from $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_{r-1} \times \{w\}$ and these bad certificates are distinct for different w from A'_r. We obtain that the number of bad certificate in H is at least $|A'_r|$.

We divide those hypergraphs which contains a bad certificate into two subsets $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$, where $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{H : |A'_r| \leq n^{0.9}\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{H : |A'_r| \geq n^{0.9}\}$. We note that each $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$ contains at least $n^{0.9}$ bad certificates as the analysis above. We next prove absolute upper bounds for the number of edges from $\mathcal{F}''$ which are covered by $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$. We observe that each $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$ can cover at most $|A'_r| \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j| \leq (r+1) n^{0.9} \log n$ edges from $\mathcal{F}''$ as we assume Lemma 1 holds. There are at most $t < n^{r-1}$ of them as assumptions in Theorem 4. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_1$ covers at most $(r+1) n^{r-0.1} \log n$ edges from $\mathcal{F}''$.

We need an upper bound for the number of bad certificates in total. We consider pairs (F, R) such that $F \in \mathcal{W}$ and $R \in \mathcal{C}(F)$. As $|\mathcal{C}(F)| \leq \frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}$ for each $F \in \mathcal{W}$, the number of such pairs is less than

$$|\mathcal{W}| \frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0} < \frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0} n^r < n^r \log n,$$

here we used the fact $|\mathcal{W}| < n^r$ and the definition of f_{i_0}. As the definition of a bad certificate, a simple double counting method yields that the number of bad certificates is at most $n^{r-0.55}\log n$. Since each bad certificate (viewed as an edge) is contained in at most one $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$ (we are considering the partition of edges) and each $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$ contains at least $n^{0.9}$ bad certificates, we have $|\mathcal{H}_2| \leq n^{r-1.45}\log n$. The number of edges contained in each $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$ has an absolute upper bound $(r+1)n\log n$. Therefore, the number of edges from $\mathcal{F}''$ which are covered by $\mathcal{H}_2$ is at most $(r+1)n^{r-0.45}\log^2 n$.

Thus, those complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs containing a bad certificate cover at most $(r+1)n^{r-0.1}\log n + (r+1)n^{r-0.45}\log^2 n$ edges from $\mathcal{F}''$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y &\geq \frac{c^2 n^r p(1-p)^{\frac{3}{c}xf_{i_0}}}{11xf_{i_0}} - (r+1)n^{r-0.1}\log n - (r+1)n^{r-0.45}\log^2 n \\ &> \frac{c^2 n^r p(1-p)^{\frac{3}{c}xf_{i_0}}}{12xf_{i_0}} \end{aligned}$$

the proof of this lemma is complete. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4: To simplify the notation, we define the following prefix sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_1 = \mathcal{P}' \setminus \mathcal{P}_{i_0} &= \left\{ P_i \in \mathcal{P} : \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| < f_{i_0} \right\} \\ \mathcal{Q}_2 = (\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}') \cup \mathcal{P}_{i_0} &= \left\{ P_i \in \mathcal{P} : \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| \geq f_{i_0} \right\} \\ \mathcal{Q}_3 = \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}' &= \left\{ P_i \in \mathcal{P} : \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| \geq f_{i_0+1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_1(n) = 2, c_2(n) = f_{i_0}$, and $c_3(n) = f_{i_0+1}$. For $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ and each $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, let $\mathcal{Z}_i$ be the event that $g(H,\mathcal{Q}_i) \leq |\mathcal{Q}_i| c_i(n) p^{c_i(n)} n + 2n^{r-0.3}$. Lemma 2 implies that with probability at least $1 - 6\exp(-n^{r-0.8})$ all events $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2, \mathcal{Z}_3$ hold simultaneously. We condition on these three events. We note that for each $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, the number of edges from $\mathcal{F}$ which is covered by complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs with the prefix from $\mathcal{Q}_i$ is bounded above by the function $g(H,\mathcal{Q}_i)$.

We proceed to prove $|\mathcal{Q}_1| \geq 0.01c$. Suppose not. Because the event $\mathcal{Z}_1$ occurs, the number of edges from $\mathcal{F}$ covered by those complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs with the prefix $P \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ is at most $(2+o(1))p^2 n|\mathcal{Q}_1| \leq \left(0.02cp^2 + o(1)\right) n^r$, here $2n^{r-0.2}$ is a lower term as we assume $p \geq 1/\log\log\log\log n$. A simple application of Theorem 2 yields that with probability at least $1 - \exp(-cpn^r/8)$ the number of r-sets in $\mathcal{F}$ being an edge in $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$ is at least $\frac{cpn^r}{2}$. Therefore, the number of edges covered by those complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs with the prefix $\mathcal{Q}_2$ is at least $\frac{cpn^r}{4}$. As the event $\mathcal{Z}_2$, we get

$$|\mathcal{Q}_2| \geq \frac{(\frac{pc}{4} + o(1))n^r}{f_{i_0} p^{f_{i_0}} n} > n^{r-1}$$

when n is large enough. This is a contradiction to the assumption $|\mathcal{P}| \leq n^{r-1}$. Therefore, as long as events $\mathcal{Z}_1$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2$ as well as the lower bound for the number of edges from $\mathcal{F}$ hold, we have $|\mathcal{Q}_1| \geq 0.01c$ which is one of the assumptions in Lemma 9.

Recall Lemma 9. Those uncovered edges given by Lemma 9 must be covered by complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs with the prefix from $\mathcal{Q}_3$. As the event $\mathcal{Z}_3$, we get

$$|\mathcal{Q}_3| \geq \frac{c^2 n^{r-1} p(1-p)^{\frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}}}{13 x f_{i_0} f_{i_0+1} p^{f_{i_0+1}}},$$

we note $n^{r-0.3}$ is a lower order term. Recall $1/\log\log\log\log n \leq p \leq 1/2$ and $f_i = K^i 2^{q(n)}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'| + |\mathcal{Q}_3| &\geq x n^{r-1} + \frac{c^2 n^{r-1} p(1-p)^{\frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}}}{13 x f_{i_0} f_{i_0+1} p^{f_{i_0+1}}} \\
&\geq \frac{c^2 n^{r-1} p(1-p)^{\frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}}}{13 x f_{i_0} f_{i_0+1} p^{f_{i_0+1}}} \\
&\geq \frac{c^2 n^{r-1} p 2^{f_{i_0+1} - \frac{3}{c} x f_{i_0}}}{13 x f_{i_0} f_{i_0+1}} \qquad (3) \\
&\geq \frac{c^2 n^{r-1} p 2^{f_{i_0+1} - \frac{3}{c} f_{i_0}}}{13 f_{i_0} f_{i_0+1}} \qquad (4) \\
&= \frac{c^2 n^{r-1} p 2^{K^{i_0+1} 2^{q(n)} - \frac{3}{c} K^{i_0} 2^{q(n)}}}{13 K^{2i_0+1} 2^{2q(n)}} \\
&= \frac{c^2 n^{r-1} p 2^{\frac{1}{c} K^{i_0} 2^{q(n)}}}{13 K^{2i_0+1} 2^{2q(n)}} \qquad (5) \\
&> n^{r-1}
\end{aligned}$$

when n is large enough. We used $p \leq 1/2$ to get inequality (3), $x \leq 1$ to get inequality (4), and $K = \frac{4}{c}$ to get inequality (5).

Therefore, as long as events $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2, \mathcal{Z}_3$ occur, the lower bound for the number of edges in $\mathcal{F} \cap E(H)$ holds, and Lemma 9 holds, we get a contradiction. With probability at most $2\exp(-n^{r-0.92}) + 6\exp(-n^{r-0.8}) + \exp(-cpn^r/8) \leq 3\exp(-n^{r-0.92})$, one of them does not hold, this completes the proof of the theorem. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Before we prove the main theorem, we need to show an upper bound on the number of choices for the prefix set $\mathcal{P}$.

Lemma 10 *Suppose $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_q\}$, where $P_i = \{A_1^i, \ldots, A_{r-1}^i\}$ and $1 \leq \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| < (r+1)\log n$ for each $1 \leq i \leq q$. The number of choices for $\mathcal{P}$ with $|\mathcal{P}| \leq n^{r-1}$ is bounded from above by $n^{(r+3)n^{r-1}\log n}$ when n is large enough.*

Proof: We shall show the desired upper bound step by step. We have at most n^{r-1} choices for the size of $\mathcal{P}$. First, we fix the size of $\mathcal{P}$. We will establish an absolute upper bound on the number of choices for each element P_i of $\mathcal{P}$. For each $P_i = \{A_1^i, \ldots, A_{r-1}^i\} \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $t_i = |\cup_{j=1}^{r-1} A_j^i| \leq (r+1)\log n + s < (r+2)\log n$ as $\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| \leq (r+1)\log n$. Therefore, $\cup_{j=1}^{r-1} A_j^i \in \binom{[n]}{\leq (r+2)\log n}$, which implies that the number of choices for $\cup_{j=1}^{r-1} A_j^i$ is at most $n^{(r+2)\log n}$. We fix the selection of $\cup_{j=1}^{r-1} A_j^i$ and wish to partition it into $r-1$ disjoint parts A_j^i. Let $a_j = |A_j^i|$ for $1 \leq j \leq r-1$. Then we have $a_1 + \ldots + a_{r-1} = t_i$. The

number of choice for the size of $a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}$ equals the number of solutions to the equation $a_1 + \ldots + a_{j-1} = t_i$. Since $a_j \geq 1$, we have at most $\binom{t_i}{r-1}$ choices for $a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}$, which can be bounded from above by $((r+2)\log n)^{r-1}$ as $t_i \leq (r+2)\log n$. If we fix the size of each A_j^i, then the number of ways to partition $\cup_{j=1}^{r-1} A_j^i$ into $A_1^i, \ldots, A_{r-1}^i$ equals $\binom{t_i}{a_1,\ldots,a_{j-1}}$, which is at most $t_i! \leq ((r+2)\log n)^{(r+2)\log n}$. Therefore, the number of choices for P_i is at most

$$n^{(r+2)\log n}\left((r+2)\log n\right)^{(r+2)\log n + r - 1}$$

Recall the assumption $|\mathcal{P}| \leq n^{r-1}$. We get that the number of choices for $\mathcal{P}$ is at most

$$n^{r-1}\left(n^{(r+2)\log n}\left((r+2)\log n\right)^{(r+2)\log n + r - 1}\right)^{|\mathcal{P}|} < n^{(r+3)n^{r-1}\log n},$$

provide n is sufficiently large. □

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of the upper bound: We shall exhibit an explicit decomposition of each r-uniform hypergraph with n vertices using at most $(1 - \pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) + o(1))\binom{n}{r-1}$ trivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs.

For each $r \geq 3$, let $G = ([n], E)$ be an $(r-1)$-uniform hypergraph which has $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_r^{(r-1)})$ edges and does not contain $K_r^{(r-1)}$ as a subhypergraph. Obviously, G is well-defined. Let G' be the complement of G. Therefore, $E(G') = \binom{[n]}{r-1} \setminus E(G)$. We observe that an independent set of size r in G' will be a $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G. As G does not contain $K_r^{(r-1)}$, we get each $F \in \binom{[n]}{r}$ contains at least one edge of G'.

Suppose $q = |E(G')|$ and we list edges in G' as $e_1, \ldots, e_q$. For each r-uniform hypergraph H with n vertices, we will show that H can be decomposed into at most q complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs as follows.

Let $H_0 = H$ and we will define a sequence of complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs recursively. For each $1 \leq i \leq q$, we assume the edge e_i in G' is $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{r-1}\}$. The key observation is the following. For an edge $F \in E(H)$, if F is contained in a trivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs with vertex parts $\{v_1\} \times \cdots \times \{v_{r_1}\} \times V_r$, then the set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}\}$ must be a subset of F. We define $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F \in E(H_{i-1}) : e_i \subset F\}$ and $A_r = \cup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} F \setminus e_i$. If $A_r \neq \emptyset$, then the i-th complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs H_i' will have vertex parts $\{v_1\}, \ldots, \{v_{r_1}\}, A_r$. If the set $\mathcal{F}_i$ is empty, then we do not define H_i'. We set $E(H_i) = E(H_{i-1}) \setminus E(H_i')$ for each $1 \leq i \leq q-1$.

We note that each $F \in E(H)$ contains at least one of e_i. The definition of H_i's ensures that each edge in H is in exactly one of these trivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs. Clearly, for sufficiently large n, we have $q = (1 - \pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) + o(1))\binom{n}{r-1}$. Since the decomposition above applies to all H, it also works for the random hypergraph $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$.

Proof of the lower bound: We assume Lemma 1 holds. Thus each complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with vertex parts $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ satisfying $\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} |A_r| < (r+1)\log n$ provided $|A_1| \leq |A_2| \leq \ldots \leq |A_r|$. For any fixed small positive constant ϵ, we shall show that the probability $f(H) \leq (1 - \pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) - \epsilon)\binom{n}{r-1}$ is small, where $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$.

For a fixed prefix set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_t\}$, where $P_i = \{A_1^i, \ldots, A_{r-1}^i\}$ and $\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} |A_j^i| < (r+1)\log n$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $t \leq (1 - \pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) - \epsilon)\binom{n}{r-1}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ denote the event that there are t sets $A_r^1, \ldots, A_r^t$ such that

$$E(H) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{t} \prod_{j=1}^{r} A_j^i,$$

provided $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$. Here $A_1^i, \ldots, A_r^i$ form a complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph for each $1 \le i \le t$. We assume the first s of them are trivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs, i.e., $|A_1^i| = \cdots = |A_{r-1}^i| = 1$ for each $1 \le i \le s$.

As we did for proving the upper bound, we define an $(r-1)$-uniform hypergraph G such that $V(G) = [n]$ and $E(G) = \binom{[n]}{r-1} \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} A_j^i)$. We note $|A_j^i| = 1$ for each $1 \le i \le s$ and $1 \le j \le r-1$. We get $|E(G)| \ge (\pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) + \epsilon)\binom{n}{r-1}$. By the supersaturation result for hypergraphs (see Theorem 1 in [11]), we get that there are at least $c(\epsilon)n^r$ copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G. Let G' be the complement of G and $\mathcal{F}$ be the collection of independent sets with size r in G'. We have $|\mathcal{F}| \ge c(\epsilon)n^r$. We observe that if $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$, then edges in $\mathcal{F} \cap E(H)$ must be covered by those nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs in the partition. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the event that each $F \in \mathcal{F} \cap E(H)$ is contained in exactly one of the last $t-s$ nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypegraphs. We have two cases depending on the range of the probability p.

Case 1: $1/\log\log\log\log n \le p \le 1/2$. Applying Theorem 4 with $\mathcal{P}' = \{P_{s+1}, \ldots, P_t\}$, we get that $\mathcal{Y}$ holds with the probability at most $2\exp(-n^{r-0.92})$. This implies that the event $\mathcal{X}$ occurs with probability at most $2\exp(-n^{r-0.92})$. By Lemma 10, there are at most $n^{(r+3)n^{r-1}\log n}$ choices for $\mathcal{P}$ satisfying the desired properties. Applying the union bound, we get that the probability $f(H) \le (1 - \pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) - \epsilon)\binom{n}{r-1}$ is at most $2\exp(-n^{r-0.92})n^{(r+3)n^{r-1}\log n} < \exp(-n^{r-0.94})$ for any positive ϵ.

Case 2: $(\log n)^{2.001}/n \le p \le 1/\log\log\log\log n$. We observe that the set $\mathcal{F}$ is determined by the prefix set $\mathcal{P}$. Therefore, Lemma 10 also gives an upper bound on the number of possible choices of $\mathcal{F}$. A simple application of Theorem 2 yields that with high probability $|\mathcal{F} \cap E(H)| \ge \frac{pcn^r}{4}$ for all $\mathcal{F}$ with $|\mathcal{F}| \ge n^r/\log\log n$. As edges in $\mathcal{F} \cap E(H)$ must be covered by the last $t-s$ nontrivial complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs. Since $t - s \le \binom{n}{r-1}$, Lemma 5 tells us that the event $\mathcal{Y}$ occurs with probability at most $2^{-0.05pc\log(1/p)n^r}$. This also implies that the event $\mathcal{X}$ occurs with probability at most $2^{-0.05pc\log(1/p)n^r}$. By Lemma 5 and the union bound, we get the probability $f(H) \le (1 - \pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) - \epsilon)\binom{n}{r-1}$ is at most $2^{-0.05pc\log(1/p)n^r} n^{(r+3)n^{r-1}\log n} \le 2^{-0.04pc\log(1/p)n^r}$ as $np \ge (\log n)^{2.001}$ and c is a constant.

The proof of the theorem is finished. □

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we studied the problem of partitioning the edge set of a random r-uniform hypergraph into edge sets of complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraphs. We were able to show if $(\log n)^{2.001}/n \le p \le 1/2$ and $H \in H^{(r)}(n,p)$, then with high probability $f(H) = (1 - \pi(K_r^{(r-1)}) + o(1))\binom{n}{r-1}$. For the case of $r = 2$, results from [2] and [6] assert that if p is a constant, $p \le 1/2$, and $G \in G(n,p)$, then with high probability $n - o((\log n)^{3+\epsilon}) \le f(G) \le (2 + o(1))\log n$ for any positive constant ϵ. For sparse random graphs, Alon [2] determined the order of magnitude of the second term of $f(G)$. However, we do not have any information on the second term of $f(H)$ for $r \ge 3$. This leads the following question.

Problem 1: Determine the order of the second term of $f(H)$ for $H \in H^{(r}(n,p)$ and $r \ge 3$.

We note that we were only able to determine the leading coefficient of $f(H)$ for $p \ge (\log n)^{2.001}/n$ and $H \in H^{(r}(n,p)$. A natural question is to prove similar results for other range of the probability p.

We recall that for a graph G, the *strong bipartition number* $\mathrm{bp}'(G)$ of G is the minimum number of nontrivial complete bipartite subgraphs (which are not stars) of G such that each edge of G is in exactly one of them. This parameter was introduced by Chung and the author in [6] when they were studying the bipartition number of random graphs. In particular, they proved that if p is a constant, $p \leq 1/2$, and $G \in G(n,p)$, then $\mathrm{bp}'(G) \geq 1.0001n$ with high probability. For sparse random graphs, Alon [2] proved a better lower bound. Namely, he showed with high probability $\mathrm{bp}'(G) \geq 2n$ if $G \in G(n,p)$. We remark here that our methods for proving Theorem 4 implicitly yield the following theorem.

Theorem 5 *If p is a constant, $p \leq 1/2$, and $G \in G(n,p)$, then with high probability*

$$\frac{\mathrm{bp}'(G)}{n} \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

References

[1] N. Alon, Decomposition of the complete r-graph into complete r-partite r-graphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **2** (1986), 95–100.

[2] N. Alon, Bipartite decomposition of random graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **113** (2015), 220–235.

[3] N. Alon, T. Bohman, and H. Huang, More on the bipartite decomposition of random graphs, arXiv: 1409.6165 [math.CO].

[4] K. Azuma, Weighted sums of certain dependent random variables, *Tohuku Math. Journal*, **19**(3) (1967), 357–367.

[5] H. Chernoff, A note on an inequality involving the normal distribution, *Ann. Probab.*, **9** (1981), 533–535.

[6] F. Chung and X. Peng, Decomposition of random graphs into complete bipartite graphs, arXiv: 1402.0860[math.CO].

[7] S. M. Cioabă and A. Küngden, Covering complete hypergraphs with cuts of minimum total size, *Graphs Combin.*, **28**(1) (2012), 109–122.

[8] S. M. Cioabă, A. Küngden, C. M. Timmons, and V. V. Vysotsky, Covering complete r-graphs with spanning complete r-partite r-graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, **20**(4) (2011), 519–527.

[9] S. M. Cioabă, A. Küngden, and J. Verstraëte, On decompositions of complete hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **116** (2009), 1232–1234.

[10] S. M. Cioabă and M. Tait, Variations on a theme of Graham and Pollak, *Discrete Math.,* **313**(5) (2013), 665–676.

[11] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, Supersaturated graphs and hypergraphs, *Combinatorica*, **3**(2) 1983, 181–192.

[12] R. L. Graham and H. O. Pollak, On the addressing problem for loop switching, *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, **50** (8) (1971), 2495–2519.

[13] T. Kratzke, B. Reznick and D. West, Eigensharp graphs: Decomposition into complete bipartite subgraphs, . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **308** (1988), 637–653.

[14] L. Lovász, On coverings of graphs, *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Conf. Tihany)*, Academic Press, (1969), 231–236.

[15] G. W. Peck, A new proof of a theorem of Graham and Pollak, *Discrete Math.*, **49** (1984), 327–328.

[16] H. Tverberg, On the decomposition of K_n into complete bipartite graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **6** (1982), 493–494.

[17] S. Vishwanathan, A polynomial space proof of the Graham-Pollak theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **115** (2008), 674–676.

[18] S. Vishwanathan, A counting proof of the Graham Pollak Theorem, *Discrete Math.*, **313**(6) (2013), 765–766.

[19] W. Yan and Y. Ye, A simple proof of Graham and Pollak's theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A,* **113**, (2006), 892–893.